EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Neel Chatterjee (SBN 173985) nchatterjee@goodwinlaw.com James Lin (SBN 310440) jlin@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 135 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, California 94025 Tel.: +1 650 752 3100 Fax.: +1 650 853 1038 Brett Schuman (SBN 189247) bschuman@goodwinlaw.com Shane Brun (SBN 179079) sbrun@goodwinlaw.com	
8 9 10 11 12	Rachel M. Walsh (SBN 250568) rwalsh@goodwinlaw.com Hayes P. Hyde (SBN 308031) hhyde@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111 Tel.: +1 415 733 6000 Fax.: +1 415 677 9041	
13 14 15 16	Hong-An Vu (SBN 266268) hvu@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 601 S. Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673	
17	Attorneys for Defendant: Otto Trucking LLC	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
20		
21 22	Waymo LLC, Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA OTTO TRUCKING'S SUPPLEMENT TO ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
23 24 25	Uber Technologies, Inc.; Ottomotto LLC; Otto Trucking LLC, Defendants.	Courtroom: 8 (19th Floor) Judge: Hon. William Alsup Trial Date: October 10, 2017
26 27 28		

ACTIVE/92856930.1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

Defendant Otto Trucking LLC ("Otto Trucking") respectfully submits the following Supplement to its Motion for Summary Judgment in light of deposition testimony from Lior Ron, and in response to arguments made by counsel for plaintiff Waymo LLC at the hearing held on September 20, 2017.

At the hearing on Otto Trucking's motion for summary judgment, counsel for Waymo argued that the Stroz report suggested access to protected materials by Anthony Levandowski after February 1, the date on which Otto Trucking was formed. *See* Declaration of Neel Chatterjee in Support of Otto Trucking's Supplement to its Motion for Summary Judgment, Ex. 1, 9/20/17 Hearing Tr., at 60:1-62:5; *see also* Ex. 2 (Lior Ron Rough Tr.) at 374:13-16. The Court asked certain questions relating to whether Mr. Levandowski was wearing his "Otto Trucking hat" when he is alleged to have access those materials. *See id.* at Ex. 1, 9/20/17 Hearing Tr., at 63:8-18.

Mr. Lior Ron, Otto Trucking's Chief Executive Officer and General Manager, was deposed again yesterday. His testimony makes clear that Mr. Levandowski was not wearing any such "hat" at that time, because neither Otto Trucking, nor its subsidiary Otto Transport LLC, was engaging in any research and development activities relating to LiDAR:

• Q. What is Otto Trucking LLC?

A. Otto Trucking LLC is an entity that was formed to hold the interest of the Otto Trucking shareholders or members in a potential trucking or logistics business at Uber. *Id.* at Ex. 2 at 374:8-12.

• Q. So between the time that Otto Trucking was formed, I think you testified earlier around February 2016, and the time that -- let's say, August 2016, did either Otto Trucking or Otto Transport do any R&D activity with respect to LiDAR systems?

A. No. Those entities did not engage in any LiDAR activities, nor did they have any employees throughout those months. *Id.* at Ex. 2 at 380:15-23.

• Q. So between the time that Otto Trucking was formed, you testified earlier February 2016, and the date of this agreement, April 6th, 2016, what was the business of Otto Trucking, if any?

MR. CHERNY: Objection; leading.

THE WITNESS: It was basically a legal holding company that we've formed for

ACTIVE/92856930.1

1	potentially engaging in trucking business activity in the future. But Otto Trucking LLC did not have any R&D activities or any employees at the time.		
2			
3	Q. So during the same time period that I just asked about in my prior question, did Otto Trucking do any work on developing any LiDAR systems?		
5	MR. CHERNY: Objection; leading.		
6	THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. As I said before, Otto Trucking didn't		
7	didn't have any LiDAD activity whateaver," Id. at Ev. 2 at 276.12, 277.6		
8	• Q. And what is Otto Transport LLC?		
9	A. I think Otto Transport LLC was an entity we formed to hold some of the self-driving trucks, so the trucks that Otto Trucking had or that Otto Trucking leased. <i>Id.</i> at Ex. 2, 377:16-20.		
.1	For these reasons, and for the reasons stated in Otto Trucking's motion, reply and		
.2	accompanying papers, Otto Trucking respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion		
3	for summary judgment.		
4	Dated: October 3, 2017 Respectfully submitted,		
5			
6	By: /s/ Neel Chatterjee Neel Chatterjee		
7	nchatterjee@goodwinlaw.com Brett Schuman		
8	bschuman@goodwinlaw.com Shane Brun		
9	sbrun@goodwinlaw.com Rachel M. Walsh		
20	rwalsh@goodwinlaw.com Hong-An Vu		
21	hvu@goodwinlaw.com Hayes P. Hyde		
22	hhyde@goodwinlaw.com James Lin		
23	jlin@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP		
24	Attorneys for Defendant: Otto Trucking LLC		
25	Attorneys for Defendant. Otto Trucking LLC		
26			
27			
28			
- 1			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by using the CM/ECF system on **October 3, 2017**. I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service of the publicly filed document will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 3, 2017.

/s/ Neel Chatterjee

NEEL CHATTERJEE

ACTIVE/92856930.1